

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 084818
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DHA-02 SP-02 SCA-01 CU-02 SS-15
DODE-00 NSC-05 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-07
NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 TRSE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00
USIE-00 INRE-00 EB-08 COME-00 H-01 /072 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:JHMADDEN:MEM

APPROVED BY EUR:AAHARTMAN

D/HA:KHILL/CBRODY

S/P:PKAPLAN

SCA:JDEWITT

CU:YRICHMOND

C:RHOPPER

S/S:MR. SHANKLE

-----150144Z 082407 /72

O 150048Z APR 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION NATO IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 084818

HOLD FOR OPENING OF BUSINESS

E.O. 11652:GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT:ANNEX ON NEW PROPOSALS TO IS DRAFT REPORT ON
PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE

REF: STATE 82235

1. OUR COMMENTS ON NEW PROPOSALS ANNEX TO IS REPORT FOLLOW;
THEY ARE KEYED TO PARAGRAPH AND PAGE NUMBERING OF ANNEX A
TO ISD/146. WHILE WE GIVE PRELIMINARY SUPPORT TO SEVERAL
PROPOSALS, THIS IS ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ALLIES WILL
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 084818

REDUCE NUMBER OF CONCRETE NEW PROPOSALS IN ACCORDANCE
WITH AGREED POSITION ON LIMITED NUMBER OF PROPOSALS FOR
BELGRADE THAT WILL NOT DISTRACT ATTENTION FROM MEANINGFUL
REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION.

2. WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT MANY PROPOSALS RELATED TO HUMAN
RIGHTS, TRAVEL AND INFORMATION WILL MEET STIFF RESISTANCE

FROM EASTERN STATES AT BELGRADE. SINCE US ATTACHES GREAT IMPORTANCE TO HUMAN RIGHTS ASPECTS OF CSCE -- IN TERMS OF FINAL ACT IMPLEMENTATION AND, AS FEASIBLE, NEW PROPOSALS -- WE BELIEVE IT ADVISABLE THAT NEW PROPOSALS IN THIS AREA BE AS CONCRETE AND PRACTICABLE AS POSSIBLE AND APPEAR TO HAVE SOME CHANCE FOR SUCCESS AT BELGRADE. IN PARTICULAR, WE SHOULD AVOID NEW PROPOSALS ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND IN OTHER

AREAS WHICH ARE SUSCEPTIBLE TO CHARGE OF BEING PROPAGANDA OR AS BEING PURELY TACTICAL IN NATURE.

(A) PAGE 1, TITLE AND INTRODUCTORY PARAGRAPH. SEE COMMENT REFTEL CONCERNING DIFFERENT FORMULATION FOR THE TERM QTE FOLLOW-UP UNQTE.

(B) PAGE 1, BASKET I: DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES, SUBPARAS (A) AND (B). WE BELIEVE IDEA OF COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS COULD MAKE US VULNERABLE TO CHARGE OF ENGAGING IN PROPAGANDA AND NOT BEING INTERESTED IN BUSINESSLIKE SESSION AT BELGRADE. THIS MEASURE, AS WE NOW UNDERSTAND IT, WOULD HAVE NO CHANCE OF EASTERN ACCEPTANCE AND WOULD SEEM UNLIKELY TO ADVANCE ACTUAL ENHANCEMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN EASTERN COUNTRIES. IT ALSO WOULD ENLARGE ON FINAL ACT PROVISIONS. PROPOSAL ON POST-BELGRADE GROUP TO EXCHANGE VIEWS ON HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLEMENTATION APPEARS TO HAVE SIMILAR DRAWBACKS AND NO BETTER CHANCE OF SUCCESS AT BELGRADE. ACCORDINGLY WE PREFER TO CONCENTRATE ON MORE SPECIFIC CONCRETE NEW HUMAN-RIGHTS-RELATED PROPOSALS WHICH DERIVE FROM THE AGREED FINAL ACT PROVISIONS AND

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 084818

STAND SOME CHANCE OF SUCCESS. AS TO PROPOSAL ON RIGHT OF INDIVIDUAL TO DIVULGE INFORMATION ON HIS RIGHTS TO OTHERS (SUBPARA B), THIS SEEMS TO BE A LOGICAL EXTENSION OF FINAL ACT PROVISIONS.

(C) PAGE 2, BASKET III: HUMAN CONTACTS, PARA 1 (A) (B) AND (C). WE SUPPORT PROPOSALS RELATED TO EXIT PERMITS SUBPARA A). PROPOSAL ON RIGHTS OF APPLICANTS (SUBPARA B) IS US INITIATIVE. WE WOULD LIKE MORE DETAILS ON EXIT FORMALITIES PROPOSAL (SUBPARA C) BEFORE COMMENTING.

(D) PAGES 2-3, PARA 2 (A) AND (B). PROPOSAL ON ELIMINATION OF CURRENCY CONVERSION REQUIREMENTS (SUBPARA A) IS US INITIATIVE. ALTHOUGH PROPOSAL (SUBPARA B) ON ABOLITION OF AFFIDAVITS OF SUPPORT IS RELATED TO GRANTING OF EXIT VISAS, WE COULD NOT SUPPORT SUCH A PROPOSAL SINCE US REGULATIONS REQUIRE US CONSULAR OFFICERS TO HAVE PROOF OF SUPPORT IN FORM OF AFFIDAVITS OR OTHER DOCUMENTS FOR PERSONS REQUESTING VISAS FOR US.

AS PRIVATE TRAVELERS FROM EASTERN COUNTRIES RECEIVE ONLY LIMITED AMOUNTS OF HARD CURRENCY FOR VISITS, AND ARE THUS DEPENDENT ON RELATIVES TO SUPPORT THEM WHILE IN US, WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE THIS REQUIREMENT WILL CHANGE.

(E) PAGES 3 AND 4, PARA 3 (A) (B) AND (C). WE COULD AGREE TO THESE THREE PROPOSALS.

(F) PAGE 4, PARA 4 (A) AND (B). PROPOSAL ON ABOLITION OF

FEES FOR TOURIST VISAS (SUBPARA A) EVIDENTLY STEMS FROM US CONCEPT. WE WOULD PREFER EXTENSION OF THIS PROPOSAL TO INCLUDE ALL NON-IMMIGRANT VISAS, INCLUDING VISITS TO FAMILY MEMBERS, BUSINESS VISAS, ETC. PROVISION OF TOURISM INFORMATION (SUBPARA B). WE COULD PROBABLY SUPPORT PROPOSAL OF THIS TYPE BUT WOULD LIKE DETAILS ON MEASURES FOR INCREASING INFORMATION ON TOURISM.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 084818

(G) PAGE 4, PARA 5. WE COULD SUPPORT PROPOSAL ON ACCESS TO EMBASSIES, BUT NOTE THAT EASTERN STATES SUCCESSFULLY OPPOSED INCLUSION OF SIMILAR PROVISION IN FINAL ACT.

(H) PAGES 4 AND 5, BASKET III: INFORMATION, PARA (1). IMPORTATION, DISTRIBUTION AND AVAILABILITY OF FOREIGN NEWSPAPERS AND PUBLICATIONS IN LIBRARIES FALLS PRIMARILY WITHIN COMPETENCE OF PRIVATE SECTOR AND LOCAL LIBRARY AUTHORITIES IN US, RATHER THAN WITHIN THAT OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE THEREFORE WOULD HAVE DIFFICULTY SUPPORTING THIS PROPOSAL. IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO SUPPORT ANY PROPOSAL ALONG THIS LINE WHICH GOES BEYOND PRESENT FINAL ACT LANGUAGE WHICH CALLS ON STATES TO ENCOURAGE THESE TYPES OF ACTIVITIES.

(I) PAGE 5, PARA 2. THIS IS US INITIATIVE.

(J) PAGE 5, PAR; 3. WE COULD SUPPORT ITEMS IN PROPOSAL ON WORKING CONDITIONS FOR JOURNALISTS, BUT BELIEVE SPECIFIC REFERENCES TO EASTERN EUROPEAN STATES SHOULD BE DROPPED. ALLIES WOULD BE ON FIRM GROUND IN REGARD TO SUBPARA VI, SINCE FOREIGN PRESS ASSOCIATIONS ALREADY EXIST IN US AND WE PRESUME IN OTHER ALLIED COUNTRIES.

(K) PAGE 6, BASKET III: CULTURE, ACCESS, SUBPARAS (A) AND (B). PROPOSAL ON BOOK AND RECORD SHOPS (SUBPARA A) IS US INITIATIVE. WE COULD IN PRINCIPLE SUPPORT MEASURES TO INCREASE CONTACTS AND COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN AUTHORS AND PUBLISHING ORGANIZATIONS (SUBPARA B), BUT WOULD NEED

FURTHER DETAILS ON SCOPE OF PROPOSAL, ESPECIALLY SINCE
IT APPEARS TO REOPEN NEGOTIATIONS WHICH WERE CONCLUDED
DURING CSCE.

(L) PAGES 6 AND 7, BASKET III: EDUCATION, PARA (1).

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 084818

THIS PROPOSAL EVIDENTLY DERIVES FROM US CONCEPT. WE
ARE TRANSMITTING SEPTEL TEXT OF PROPOSAL ON ACCESS TO
ARCHIVES.

(M) PAGE 7, PARA (2). AGREEMENT TO FRG-PROPOSED
SCIENTIFIC FORUM WAS ACHIEVED IN FINAL ACT. WE THEREFORE

DO NOT BELIEVE THAT FRG INTENTION TO FOLLOW THROUGH ON
AGREED FINAL ACT PROVISION TECHNICALLY FALLS UNDER QTE
NEW PROPOSALS UNQTE. IN ANY CASE, US, AS SIGNATORY TO
FINAL ACT, CONCURS IN CONVENING OF SCIENTIFIC FORUM.

VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ALLIANCE, COLLECTIVE SECURITY, REPORTS, INSTRUCTIONS, PLANNING MEETINGS, AMENDMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 15-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am
Decapton Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decapton Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE084818
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JHMADDEN:MEM
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770130-0858
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770452/aaaabsuz.tel
Line Count: 195
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 10df98a4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 STATE 82235
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08-Dec-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2792262
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ANNEX ON NEW PROPOSALS TO IS DRAFT REPORT ON PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE
TAGS: PFOR, US, NATO, CSCE
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/10df98a4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009